

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

SUBJECT: SIC MANUAL, ADOPTION OF;
“TALKING AND NON-TALKING POULTY”

DATE: June 13, 1995

LOCATION: Orlando, Florida

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

- Linda Chapin ,County Chairman
- Bob Freeman
- Tom Staley
- Mary Johnson
- Clarence Hoenstine
- Bill Donegan
- Mable Butler

ALSO PRESENT:

- Bruce McClendon
- Corb Sarchet, Greater Orlando Association of
Realtors

1 **Chairman Chapin:** Alright. We now have a public hearing for the second
2 hearing on the zoning land development code, SIC Classification Code.
3 Mr. McClendon and John Slogar.

4 **Bruce McClendon:** Thank you Madame Chairman. I'll present this
5 information to us tonight. We had a workshop last week. This is the first
6 501 hearing we're having. We have another hearing two weeks from
7 tonight. This is also a 501 hearing. We wanted to point out that as a result
8 of the workshop we held with you a couple of concerns were raised. One
9 had to do with a restriction we presently have in the existing ordinance
10 on stadiums. And I think – to use the Chairman's words again – common
11 sense application shows us that is not a good restriction that we have at
12 the present time. So, we've made an amendment that deletes that size
13 restriction and limitation. Secondly, we've kind of used a belt and
14 suspender approach with respect to the poultry. We felt comfortable that
15 the definition that we have in the SIC Code differentiated between non-
16 talking and talking poultry. But just to make sure that we're all
17 comfortable with it we've gone back and we've added the definition of
18 poultry and some special conditions. And we've also taken the extra step
19 that we added – that we didn't have – and we've added this one hundred
20 foot set-back from the keeping of poultry and the adjacent residential

1 district. Those are three changes that we've made. We also need to make
2 one more change. But we would prefer to wait until the 27th to present
3 that to you. And that is with respect to the vesting requirements. We
4 think one of the key changes that we've made in this ordinance that
5 makes it acceptable to the existing development community is the fact
6 that they are vested from the changes in the list of permitted uses.
7 However, the Planning and Zoning Commission recommended a change
8 that substantially broadens the vesting and not only protected the rights
9 of existing and partially developed property, it substantially expanded
10 those rights. In essence, bonused them in and gave them more uses than
11 similar undeveloped property adjacent to them. So, we believe that that
12 goes way beyond what is necessary to make this ordinance acceptable to
13 the development community. So, we will be working with the Planning
14 and Zoning Commission this Thursday, asking them to revisit that. I've
15 met individually with one Commissioner to review that with them to get
16 a sense of feeling ... we'll announce that at the meeting. But I believe
17 that we will want to make a change at the meeting two weeks from
18 tonight. And we will bring that to you at that time. With those three
19 changes, and this one possible change with respect to vesting, those
20 constitute all the changes that we've institute the workshop and public

1 hearing and now the staff would like to turn this back over to the
2 Chairman so that we can conduct the public hearing and receive any
3 comments.

4 **Chairman Chapin:** Well, thank you, Mr. McClendon. Any questions for
5 Bruce before we take public comment? Very well. Are those in the
6 audience that would like to be heard on this amendment to the zoning
7 code, to the land development code. Yes, sir. Good evening.

8 **Corb Sarchet:** My name is Corb Sarchet. I reside at 132 Waverly Place, in
9 Orlando. I am here as the Chairman of the Growth Management
10 Committee for the Greater Orlando Association of Realtors. And I'm
11 hear to speak in favor of the ordinance, amending Chapter 38, pertaining
12 to the Zoning Code. The adoption of the Standard Industrial Code
13 Classifications will make life a lot more understandable for people who
14 are trying to determine whether or not a particular use is applicable in a
15 zoning category. I want to commend the Planning and Development
16 Division for taking this approach because it will clear up some highly
17 subjective discussions that we've had in the past. I think there is some
18 concern on our part on this vesting issue. And we've had conversations
19 with the Department heads about that. I think we can arrive at a position
20 that we will probably support that. In a couple of zoning categories,

1 particularly in the C-3 category, there are some significant changes that
2 will impact people who may have absolutely no idea that this is
3 happening to them because of the fact that this is change in definitions
4 rather than a rezoning hearing, that leaning a little bit further in to
5 provide a broader sense of the vesting than we would ordinarily do is
6 appropriate in this case.

7

CERTIFICATE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

I, David Foley, Appellant, do hereby certify that I am authorized to and did re-write, through computer-aided transcription, from an official video recording, the foregoing proceeding, which did take place on the date and at the location herein designated. Further, I certify that the foregoing pages, numbered 2 through 5 inclusive, constitute a true, complete and accurate transcription, to the best of my ability.

David W. Foley, Jr.
Appellant
1015 N. Solandra Dr.
Orlando, FL 32807-1931
PH: 407 658 4520